

---

Citation:

Scantlebury, S and Till, K and Weaving, D and Sawczuk, T and Jones, B (2017) The Influence of Training Load Variables on Subjective Perceptions of Session Intensity in Youth Sport. In: NSCA 2017 National Conference, 12 July 2017 - 15 July 2017, Las Vegas, NV. (Unpublished)

Link to Leeds Beckett Repository record:

<https://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/id/eprint/6249/>

Document Version:

Conference or Workshop Item (Published Version)

---

The aim of the Leeds Beckett Repository is to provide open access to our research, as required by funder policies and permitted by publishers and copyright law.

The Leeds Beckett repository holds a wide range of publications, each of which has been checked for copyright and the relevant embargo period has been applied by the Research Services team.

We operate on a standard take-down policy. If you are the author or publisher of an output and you would like it removed from the repository, please [contact us](#) and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Each thesis in the repository has been cleared where necessary by the author for third party copyright. If you would like a thesis to be removed from the repository or believe there is an issue with copyright, please contact us on [openaccess@leedsbeckett.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@leedsbeckett.ac.uk) and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.





# The influence of training load variables on subjective perceptions of session intensity in youth sport

Sean Scantlebury, Kevin Till, Daniel Weaving, Tom Sawczuk & Ben Jones

[s.scantlebury@leedsbeckett.ac.uk](mailto:s.scantlebury@leedsbeckett.ac.uk)

@Seanscants

## 1.0 – Introduction

- To effectively periodise training, coaches and practitioners must understand the internal response that a prescribed training load will elicit in their athletes<sup>1</sup>.
- At present, research examining the impact of training load markers on perceptions of intensity is limited to senior sport<sup>1,2,3</sup>.
- Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine the influence of training load markers on subjective perceptions of session intensity in youth hockey, rugby and football.

## 2.0 – Methods

- 30 youth athletes (age  $17.8 \pm 0.6$  years; height  $173 \pm 9$  cm; body mass  $74.6 \pm 14.4$  kg) participated in the study.
- All participants wore a 10 Hz GPS unit (S5 Optimeye, Catapult Sports) and Heart Rate belt for all field based training sessions across a 14 week in-season period.
- Following each session participants provided a rating of perceived exertion (RPE) on a modified Borg category ratio-10 (CR-10) scale.
- Sessions were grouped based on the participants RPE rating as “Easy”, “Moderate” and “Hard”. A total of 157 hockey sessions, 208 rugby sessions and 163 football sessions were analysed.
- Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was used to determine the variance explained by training load markers for sessions perceived to be “Easy” “Moderate” and “Hard” for each sport.



## 3.0 – Results

Hockey	Easy		Moderate		Hard	
	PC1	PC2	PC1	PC2	PC1	PC2
Variance Explained %	67.37	21.31	67.00	23.94	60.69	25.88
Cumulative Value %	67.37	88.68	67.00	90.93	60.69	86.57
Rotated Component Loadings						
Total Distance	0.96	0.64	0.96	0.11	0.84	0.45
High Speed Running	0.00	0.99	0.96	0.11	0.84	0.45
PlayerLoad	0.96	0.81	0.96	0.20	0.82	0.54
PlayerLoad <sub>slow</sub>	0.92	-0.25	0.89	-0.39	0.14	0.94
Heart Rate Exertion Index	0.83	0.04	0.84	-0.20	0.09	0.83

Rugby	Easy		Moderate		Hard	
	PC1	PC2	PC1	PC2	PC1	PC2
Variance Explained %	68.65	19.25	65.79	18.59	62.41	18.33
Cumulative Value %	68.65	87.90	65.79	84.38	62.41	80.75
Rotated Component Loadings						
Total Distance	0.83	0.44	0.80	0.49	0.60	0.68
High Speed Running	0.15	0.97	0.12	0.96	0.03	0.92
PlayerLoad	0.92	0.31	0.87	0.40	0.71	0.62
PlayerLoad <sub>slow</sub>	0.94	-0.15	0.90	-0.10	0.92	0.03
Heart Rate Exertion Index	0.77	0.34	0.80	0.19	0.77	0.22

Football	Easy		Moderate		Hard	
	PC1	PC2	PC1	PC2	PC1	PC2
Variance Explained %	71.05	12.96	58.92	25.26	59.52	24.30
Cumulative Value %	71.05	84.02	58.92	84.18	59.52	83.83
Rotated Component Loadings						
Total Distance	0.77	0.55	0.93	0.07	0.82	0.25
High Speed Running	0.19	0.94	0.11	0.95	0.06	0.96
PlayerLoad	0.84	0.47	0.94	-0.17	0.93	-0.11
PlayerLoad <sub>slow</sub>	0.93	0.44	0.71	-0.55	0.80	-0.47
Heart Rate Exertion Index	0.66	0.45	0.81	0.19	0.89	0.10

## Acknowledgments

The research, travel and conference fees were funded by the Carnegie Adolescent Rugby Research (CARR) project.



## 4.0 – Conclusion

- For Hockey, the impact of total distance and PlayerLoad reduced for ‘Hard’ sessions, while high speed running became the most influential factor.
- Physical contact is the predominating factor in ‘Hard’ Rugby sessions as PlayerLoad<sub>slow</sub> maintains the highest component loading.
- For Football, PlayerLoad explains the most variance in ‘Hard’ sessions suggesting accelerations and decelerations are key factors in the increased intensity of football training

## 5.0 – Practical Applications

- Findings of the present study aid practitioners in the prescription and periodisation of training by providing important information as to the most influential training variables to manipulate to achieve a desired internal response to training.

## 6.0 – References

<sup>1</sup>Gaudino et al. (2015). Factors influencing perception of effort (Session Rating of Perceived Exertion) During Elite Soccer Training. *IJSP*  
<sup>2</sup>Gallo et al. (2015). Characteristics impacting on session rating of perceived exertion training load in Australian footballers. *JSS*  
<sup>3</sup>Bartlett et al. (2016). Relationships between internal and external training load in team sport athletes: evidence for an individualised approach. *IJSP*